GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
SOCIAL JUSTICE AND EMPOWERMENT
LOK SABHA

UNSTARRED QUESTION NO:1537
ANSWERED ON:29.11.2000
DSCFDC LTD
HARIBHAU MAHALE

Will the Minister of SOCIAL JUSTICE AND EMPOWERMENT be pleased to state:

to the reply given to Unstarred Question NO. 7998 on May 17, 2000 and state:

(a) whether the information has since been collected;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) if not, the reasons therefore;

(d) whether the Delhi Scheduled Castes Financial and Development Corporation Limited reviewedthe system of defining of income criteria and intimated the applicants on 29.8.2000 that where the applicant is major person and should be treated a separate entity and the income of the parents should not be included in the income of beneficiary and advised the applicants to apply afresh;

(e) if so, the reasons for review and the details of previous system defining of income criteria for the purpose;

(f) the number of applicants deprived of their rights due to faulty system of defining of income in the past five years and the officers responsible for the same; (g) whether the DSCF & DC propose to consider all the applications received in 1999 for grant ofloan to purchase of photo copier/machine instead of asking for fresh applications; and (h) the time by which the loan is likely to be granted to the affected applicants?

Answer

the minister of MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF SOCIAL JUSTICE AND EMPOWERMENT

(SMT. MANEKA GANDHI)

(a) & (b) In respect of Unstarred Question No. 7998 on May 17, 2000, an assurance was given, forwhich the implementation report has been sent on 27th September, 2000. A copy of the Implementation Statement is enclosed as annexure.

(c) Does not arise.

(d) Yes, Sir.

(e) & (f) Delhi Scheduled Castes Financial and Development Corporation Limited has been set upwith the main objective of economic development of scheduled Caste persons living in NCT ofDelhi. Guidelines followed by the Corporation is revised from time to time in order to make the system more effective. So far as income criteria of the beneficiaries is concerned, the Corporation has decided that where the applicant is a major person, he should be treated a separate entity and the income of his/her parents/other family members is not to be included while deciding the income of the applicant. Prior to this, the Corporation was following a system, under which in case a beneficiary`s name is indicated in the ration card alongwith his/her parents, then the beneficiary was considered as a member of the joint family and the income of all earning members clubbed to decide his income. The income is assessed by the revenue authority, i.e., Sub-Divisional Magistrate concerned, based on which the application is processed in the Corporation.

(g) & (h) In respect of applications received in 1999 for grant of loan, which could not be considered because of taking into account the total income of the family, the applicants have been asked to apply afresh alongwith the documents. Fresh application forms have already been sent to them.

3rd Session, 2000 of 13th Lok Sabha

Ministry of Social Justice & Empowerment

Date of fulfillment

2. Date : 17.5.2000

3. Name of the Member :Shri Haribhau Shankar Mahale.

4. Subject :Delhi Scheduled Caste Financial and Development Corporation.

5. Promise made When & how filled

Asking for:Will the Minister of SOCIAL JUSTICE AND EMPOWERMENT be pleased to refer to the reply given to USQ No. 4998 on April 26, 2000 and state:

(a) the number of applications received for grant of loan to purchase of photo-copier machine;

(b) the details of each application rejected on the ground of excess income from the prescribed limit as laid down for grant of said loan;

(c) the income declared by the applicant duly verified by the SDMs in each case;

(d) the income assessed by the authoirity of DSCFDC in each case and the basis of their assessment;

(e) the number of complaints received by DSCFDC in this regard; and

(f) the action taken thereon so far and proposed to be taken in this regard?

The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.

The requisite information has been obtained from Delhi scheduled Caste Financial & Development Corporation. The reply in r/o part (a) to (f) of the question is given as under:

(a) The number of applications received by DSCFDC for grant of loan to purchase Photo copier Machine during the last three years is given as under:

Year	Application received
1997-98	175
1998-99	103
1999-2000	115

(b) to(f) During 1997-98, the following 3 cases were rejected:

1. Shri Raju S/o Shri Bhano R/o 10/47, Khichri Pur, Delhi

2. Shri Bhupender Kumar S/o Shri Laxman Sg. R/o New Q.No. 575, Vill. Khera Khurd, Delhi.

3. Shri Sushil Kumar S/o Shri Soni Singh R/o 9-A, S.P. Mukharji Marg, Delhi.

During 1999-2000, one application was rejected in respect of Shri Rajesh S/o Shri Moolchand.

S/Sh. Raju and Sushil Kumar did not submit any income certificate issued by the SDM.
Shri Bhupendra , S/o Shri Laxman Singh had submitted an affidavit of income amounting to Rs. 2000/- per month.

Shri Rajesh Kumar S/0 Shri Mool Chand had submitted income certificate issued by SDM. As per the same, income is reported Rs. 18000/- per annum. The father of applicant is Executive Officer in Parliament and the applicant himself is also working with Member of Parliament Mr. R.K. Malviya and earning about Rs. 2000/- per month. Besides this, the father of the applicant is in possession of three storey house in Paschim Vihar and earning about Rs. 3000/- per month as rent.

The competent authority considered all the above 4 cases and found that the fathers of all the applicants are Govt. Servant and their total family income from all sources exceeded the prescribed limit in the scheme and therefore, the Corporation rejected all the above mentioned 4 cases.

Now the Chairperson of DSFDC has reviewed the system of defining the income criteria under various schemes of the Corporation and it has been decided that where the applicant is a major person, he/she will be treated as a separate entity and the income of parents should not be included with that the beneficiary. Accordingly all the above four cases are being reviewed, due to which all of them are being informed separately in this regard to apply a fresh in response to the advertisement, published in various Newspapers on 3.7.2000

It took time because, the requisite information had to be collected from Delhi Scheduled Caste Financial and Development Corporation.